

*TRIESTE June 2017*

*Bi-flat F-manifolds, logarithmic connections and  
complex reflection groups.*

**Paolo Lorenzoni**

Based on joint work with Alessandro Arsie



## *Plan of the talk*

1. Flat and bi-flat  $F$ -manifolds.
2. Complex reflection groups and bi-flat  $F$ -manifolds.

## Flat $F$ -manifolds (Manin)

### Definition

An  $F$ -manifold with compatible flat structure (or flat  $F$ -manifold)  $(M, \circ, \nabla, e)$  is a manifold equipped with a product  $\circ : TM \times TM \rightarrow TM$  on the tangent spaces, a connection  $\nabla$  and a distinguished vector field  $e$  such that

- the one parameter family of connections

$$\nabla - \lambda \circ$$

is flat and torsionless for any  $\lambda$ .

- $e$  is the unit of the product and it is flat:  $\nabla e = 0$ .

Let  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  be the Christoffel symbols of  $\nabla$  and  $c_{ij}^k$  the structure constants of the product.

The fact that  $\Gamma_{ij}^k - \lambda c_{ij}^k$  is flat and torsionless for any  $\lambda$  tell us that:

1. the connection  $\nabla$  is torsionless and the product  $\circ$  is commutative
2. the connection  $\nabla$  is flat and the product  $\circ$  is associative.
3. the tensor field  $\nabla_l c_{ij}^k$  is symmetric in the lower indices.

## *Oriented associativity equations*

From condition 1,2,3 it follows that, in **flat coordinates** for  $\nabla$  we have

$$c_{jk}^i = \partial_j \partial_k A^i.$$

The vector potential  $A^i$  satisfies the associativity equations:

$$\partial_j \partial_l A^i \partial_k \partial_m A^l = \partial_k \partial_l A^i \partial_j \partial_m A^l$$

## *Principal hierarchy for $F$ -manifolds with compatible flat connection*

Integrable hierarchy:

$$u_{t_{(\rho,l)}} = X_{(\rho,l)} \circ u_x, \quad \rho = 1, \dots, n \quad l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where

$$(\nabla - \lambda \circ) (X_{(\rho,0)} + X_{(\rho,1)}\lambda + X_{(\rho,2)}\lambda^2 + \dots) = 0.$$

This means

$$\nabla X_{(\rho,0)} = 0$$

and

$$\nabla X_{(\rho,l+1)} = X_{(\rho,l)} \circ \cdot$$

In flat coordinates  $(v^1, \dots, v^n)$  the flows of the hierarchy are systems of conservation laws:

$$v_{t_{(\rho,l)}} = X_{(\rho,l)} \circ v_x = \partial_x X_{(\rho,l+1)} \cdot$$

## Bi-flat $F$ -manifolds

### Definition

A bi-flat  $F$ -manifold is a manifold equipped with two different flat structures  $(\nabla, \circ, e)$  and  $(\nabla^*, *, E)$  related by the following conditions

1.  $X * Y = E^{-1} \circ X \circ Y, \quad \forall X, Y.$
2.  $[e, E] = e,$
3.  $\text{Lie}_{E \circ} = \circ,$
4.  $(d_{\nabla} - d_{\nabla^*})(X \circ) = 0, \quad \forall X.$

## *The invariant metric*

If  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\eta$  and  $\eta$  is invariant w.r.t the product:

$$\langle X \circ Y, Z \rangle = \langle X, Y \circ Z \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y, Z,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the bilinear form defined by  $\eta$ , the bi-flat  $F$ -manifolds becomes Frobenius manifolds.



## Consequence

- $\eta_{il}A^l = \partial_i F$ : oriented associativity equations become WDVV associativity equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_j \partial_h \partial_i F \eta^{il} \partial_l \partial_k \partial_m F &= \partial_j \partial_k \partial_i F \eta^{il} \partial_l \partial_h \partial_m F \\ \partial_n \partial_i \partial_j F &= \eta_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

- the principal hierarchy becomes Hamiltonian w.r.t. the Dubrovin-Novikov bracket associated with  $\eta$ . In flat coordinates

$$\eta_{ij} X_{(p,l)}^j = \partial_i h_{(p,l)}$$

and the flows of the principal hierarchies can be written as

$$v_{t_{(p,l)}} = X_{(p,l)} \circ v_x = \partial_x X_{(p,l+1)} = P \delta H_{(p,l+1)}$$

where  $H[v] = \int h_{(p,l+1)}(v) dx$  and  $P^{ij} = \eta^{ij} \partial_x$ .

## Three dimensional regular case and Painlevé transcendents

**Theorem** (A.Arsie, P.L. 2015): Three dimensional regular bi-flat  $F$ -manifolds are locally parameterized by solutions of the full Painlevé IV, V, and VI equations according to the Jordan canonical form  $J$  of  $L = E \circ$ . More precisely,

- *PVI* in the case

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

- *PV* in the case

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

- *PIV* in the case

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Kawakami and Mano (2017) proved that PII-PVI appear as special cases in dimension 4.

## Complex reflection groups

A complex (pseudo)-reflection is a unitary transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of finite period that leaves invariant a hyperplane. A finite complex reflection group is a finite group generated by complex reflections.

Irreducible finite complex reflection groups were classified by Shephard and Todd, and consist in an infinite family depending on 3 positive integers and 34 exceptional cases  $G_i, i = 4..37$ . They include finite Coxeter groups and Shephard groups (symmetry groups of regular complex polytopes).

The ring of invariant polynomials of a complex reflection group is generated by  $n$  algebraically independent invariant polynomials  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of the complex vector space on which the group acts.

## *Well generated complex reflection groups and Frobenius manifolds without metric*

Well-generated complex reflection groups are complex reflection groups of rank  $n$ , whose minimal generating set consists of  $n$  reflections.

Kato, Mano and Sekiguchy proved that the orbit space of well generated complex reflection group has a flat structure (more precisely it is a Frobenius manifold without metric) and provided the explicit formula for the vector potential in the case

$G_{24}, G_{27}, G_{29}, G_{31}, G_{33}, G_{34}$ .

## Coxeter groups

Let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  be euclidean coordinates and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be a set of basic polynomial invariants and  $d_i = \deg(u^i)$ . In the case of Coxeter groups:

1. the flat coordinates for  $\nabla$  are basic invariants.
2. In the coordinates  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  we have  $e = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n}$ .
3. In the coordinates  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  we have  $E = \sum_{i=1}^n p^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ .
4. the dual product has the form

$$* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{d\alpha_H}{\alpha_H} \otimes \pi_H,$$

where  $\alpha_H$  is a linear form defining the mirror  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is the collection of the reflecting hyperplanes,  $\pi_H$  denotes the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of the hyperplane  $H$  and  $N$  is a normalizing factor chosen in such a way that  $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \pi_H = Id$ . This is an example of  $\vee$ -system (Veselov).

## Assumptions

We assume 1,2,3 and we substitute 4 with

$$* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{d\alpha_H}{\alpha_H} \otimes \sigma_H \pi_H,$$

where

- $\alpha_H$  is a linear form defining a reflecting hyperplane  $H$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}$  is the collection of the reflecting hyperplanes  $H$ ,
- $\pi_H$  is unitary projection onto the unitary complement of  $H$  w.r.t. a suitable Hermitian metric,
- the weights  $\sigma_H$  coincide with the order of the reflection that leaves invariant  $H$ .
- $N$  is a normalizing factor chosen in such a way that

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \sigma_H \pi_H = Id_{\mathbb{C}^n},$$

## Complex reflection groups and bi-flat $F$ -manifolds

We have considered all rank 2 and rank 3 exceptional well generated complex reflection groups, the families  $G(m, 1, 2)$  and  $G(m, 1, 3)$ .

- $\nabla^*$  has the form

$$\nabla^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{d\alpha_H}{\alpha_H} \otimes \tau_H \pi_H,$$

and the collection  $\{\tau_H\}_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$  of weights is  $G$ -invariant (this implies flatness, Looijenga).

- $\nabla^*$  might depend on a parameter. In this case also  $\nabla$  depend on a parameter. There is a standard choice s.t.  $\Gamma_{jk}^{*s}(p) = -c_{jk}^{*s}(p)$ . In this case the flat coordinates are the basic invariants satisfying

$$\frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial p^j \partial p^k} = (d_i - 1) c_{jk}^{*s} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial p^s}.$$

## *A conjecture on Coxeter groups*

**Conjecture:** The number of parameters coincides with the number of orbits for the action of  $G$  on the collection of reflecting hyperplanes minus one.

The above conjecture has been verified for Weyl groups of rank 2, 3, and 4 and for the groups  $I_2(m)$ .



## The case of $B_3$

The dual product has the form

$$c_{lp}^{*i}(\rho) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum_{s=1}^9 \frac{1}{\|\alpha_s\|^2} \frac{(\alpha_s)_l (\alpha_s)_p (\check{\alpha}_s)^i}{\alpha_s(\rho)} \right)$$

with

$$\alpha_1 = [1, 0, 0], \alpha_2 = [0, 1, 0], \alpha_3 = [0, 0, 1], \alpha_4 = [1, 0, -1], \alpha_5 = [0, 1, -1], \\ \alpha_6 = [1, -1, 0], \alpha_7 = [1, 1, 0], \alpha_8 = [1, 0, 1], \alpha_9 = [0, 1, 1].$$

## Basic invariants

$$u_1 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2,$$

$$u_2 = p_1^4 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 + c_1(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2)^2,$$

$$u_3 = p_1^6 + p_2^6 + p_3^6 + c_2(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2)(p_1^4 + p_2^4 + p_3^4) + c_3(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2)^3,$$

with  $c_1 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}c_2$  and  $c_3 = \frac{2}{9}c_2^2$ .

The dual connection has the form  $\Gamma_{jk}^{(2)i} = -c_{jk}^{*i} + \lambda C_{jk}^i$  where

$$C_{lp}^i(p) = \sum_{s=1}^9 \frac{\tau_s}{\|\alpha_s\|^2} \frac{(\alpha_s)_l (\alpha_s)_p (\check{\alpha}_s)^i}{\alpha_s(p)}.$$

with  $\tau_s = 2$  if  $s = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\tau_s = -1$  if  $s = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$  and  $\lambda = \frac{5}{3} + \frac{4}{3}c_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{B_3}^1 &= \frac{1}{72}(24c_2^2 + 62c_2 + 40)u_1^4 + \frac{1}{72}(-72c_2 - 90)u_2u_1^2 + u_1u_3 + \frac{3}{8}u_2^2, \\
A_{B_3}^2 &= \frac{1}{90}(8c_2^3 + 34c_2^2 + 46c_2 + 20)u_1^5 - \frac{1}{9}(c_2 + 1)u_2u_1^3 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{90}(-45c_2 - 45)u_2^2u_1 + u_2u_3, \\
A_{B_3}^3 &= \frac{1}{2}u_3^2 + \frac{1}{2160}(-128c_2^4 - 640c_2^3 - 1200c_2^2 - 1000c_2 - 312)u_1^6 + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{3}\left(c_2 + \frac{5}{4}\right)(c_2 + 1)\left(c_2 + \frac{3}{2}\right)u_2u_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}(c_2 + 1)\left(c_2 + \frac{3}{2}\right)u_2^2u_1^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2160}(270c_2 + 405)u_2^3.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $c_2 = -\frac{5}{4}$  we get a Frobenius manifold.

1. A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, *F*-manifolds with eventual identities, bidifferential calculus and twisted Lenard-Magri chains. IMRN (2012)
2. A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, From Darboux-Egorov system to bi-flat *F*-manifolds, Journal of Geometry and Physics (2013).
3. P. Lorenzoni, Darboux-Egorov system, bi-flat *F*-manifolds and Painlevé VI, IMRN (2014).
4. A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, *F*-manifolds, multi-flat structures and Painlevé transcendents, arXiv:1501.06435.
5. A. Arsie and P. Lorenzoni, Complex reflection groups, logarithmic connections and bi-flat *F*-manifolds, Lett Math Phys (2017).