

QUANTUM KDV & QUASIMODULAR FORMS

EXCURSIONS IN INTEGRABILITY
TRIESTE, 26 MAY 2022

GIULIO RUZZA - Université catholique de Louvain
joint work with JAN-WILLEM VAN ITTERSUM (MPIK, Bonn)

Plan

11

- 1) Dispersionless quantum KdV (Eliashberg, Dubrovin)
- 2) Functions of partitions and quasimodular forms (Bloch-Okounkov, Zagier, van Ittersum)
- 3) Quantum KdV and quasimodular forms (van Ittersum - A)

Hamiltonian PDEs

2

Phase space: $\mathcal{M} = \{ \mu: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{smooth}, \mu(x+2\pi) = \mu(x) \}$

Poisson bracket: $\{F, G\} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu(x)} \left(\partial_x \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mu(x)} \right) \frac{dx}{2\pi}$, $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Hamiltonian PDE: $\partial_t \mu(x) = \{ \mu(x), G \} = \partial_x \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mu(x)}$

Fourier coordinates: $\phi = (\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \mu(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_k e^{ikx}$

$$\phi_k = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ikx} \mu(x) \frac{dx}{2\pi} \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \phi_k}{\delta \mu(x)} = e^{-ikx} \Rightarrow \left\{ \phi_k, \phi_l \right\} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i(k+l)x} (-il) \frac{dx}{2\pi} = ik \delta_{k,-l}$$

(ϕ_0 Casimir, ϕ_k, ϕ_{-k} canonically conjugate)

KdV hierarchy

Hamiltonian flows (on \mathcal{M})

commuting

$$\partial_{t_k} u(x) = \left\{ u(x), G_k^{KdV} \right\}, \quad \left\{ G_k^{KdV}, G_l^{KdV} \right\} = 0$$

$$G_k^{KdV} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_k^{KdV}(u(x)) \frac{dx}{2\pi} \quad (k=1 \Rightarrow \text{KdV eq.})$$

$$g_{-2}^{KdV} = 1, \quad g_{-1}^{KdV} = \mu_0, \quad g_0^{KdV} = \frac{\mu_0^2}{2} + \varepsilon \mu_2, \quad g_1^{KdV} = \frac{\mu_0^3}{6} + \varepsilon \mu_0 u_2 + \frac{\mu_4}{2}$$

$$\dots, \quad g_k^{KdV} = \frac{1}{k!} \mu_0^k + O(\varepsilon), \quad \dots$$

($\varepsilon=0$: "dispersionless")
 $(\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) = (\mu, \mu_x, \mu_{xx}, \dots)$

Quantization (I)

4

Promote function(al)s $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to operators \hat{F} on Λ :

$$\widehat{\{F, G\}} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{F}, \hat{G}]$$

Let's fix: $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[P]$, $P = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots)$

$$(\hat{\Phi}_k f)(p) = \begin{cases} p_k f(p) & k > 0 \\ c f(p) & k = 0 \\ \frac{\hbar |k|}{i} \frac{\partial f(p)}{\partial p_{|k|}} & k < 0 \end{cases} \quad ([\hat{\Phi}_k, \hat{\Phi}_\ell] = -\hbar k \delta_{k, -\ell})$$

Normal order: $\bullet \hat{\Phi}_{\alpha_1} \dots \hat{\Phi}_{\alpha_\ell} \bullet = \prod_{\alpha_i \geq 0} \hat{\Phi}_{\alpha_i} \prod_{\alpha_i < 0} \hat{\Phi}_{\alpha_i} \Rightarrow$ well-defined...

Quantization (II)

5

To a differential polynomial

$$g(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}[\mu], \quad \mu = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) = (\mu, \mu_x, \mu_{xx}, \dots)$$

we associate an operator on Λ

$$\bar{g} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\hat{\mu}(x)) \frac{dx}{2\pi}, \quad \text{where } \hat{\mu}(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}_k \uparrow^{ikx} e$$

$$\text{E.g. } \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mu^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\phi}_k \hat{\phi}_{-k} = \frac{c^2}{2} + \sum_{k > 0} k p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \quad (\text{degree operator})$$

$$[L, \frac{\mu^2}{2}] = 0 \Leftrightarrow L : \Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_k, \quad \Lambda = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda_k \quad (\text{eigenspaces of } \sum_{k > 0} k p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k})$$

Quantization problem for integrable hierarchies

6

Fact: $\left[\overline{g_k^{k_{dV}}}, \overline{g_l^{k_{dV}}} \right] = O(\hbar) \neq 0$ in general.

Problem: find $g_k^{k_{dV}} \in \mathbb{C}[\mu, \varepsilon, \hbar]$ s.t.

$$g_k^{k_{dV}} \Big|_{\hbar=0} = g_k^{k_{dV}} \quad \& \quad \left[\overline{g_k^{k_{dV}}}, \overline{g_l^{k_{dV}}} \right] = 0$$

Theorem (Eliashberg, 2000 - byproduct of Symplectic Field Theory)

For $\varepsilon=0$, a solution is given explicitly by

$$\sum_{k \geq -2} \frac{1}{2} g_k^{k_{dV}}(\varepsilon=0) = \frac{1}{S(\hbar^{1/2} z)} \exp(z S(i\hbar^{1/2} z_x) \mu_0), \quad S\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{\sinh(\frac{z}{\varepsilon})}{z/2}$$

Dispersionless spectrum

Theorem (Dubrovin, 2014): We have

$$g_k^{\text{KdV}}(\varepsilon=0) S_\lambda(P/\hbar^{1/2}) = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k+2-j} \frac{\hbar^{j/2} c^{k+2-j}}{(k+2-j)!} Q_j(\lambda) \right] \cdot S_\lambda(P/\hbar^{1/2})$$

where:

$S_\lambda =$ Schur functions

$$Q_0(\lambda) = 1, \quad Q_j(\lambda) = \beta_j + \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left[\left(\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2} \right)^j - \left(-i + \frac{1}{2} \right)^j \right], \quad \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \beta_j \xi^j = \frac{\xi^{1/2}}{\text{sinh}(\xi/2)}$$

$(\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots))$ a "partition": $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}, |\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_i < +\infty$

$Q_1 \rightarrow$ "shifted symmetric functions" $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ASYMPTOTIC REP. THEORY} \\ \text{ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY} \\ \text{(H/G-W THEORY,} \\ \text{SIEGEL-VEECH CONSTANTS)} \end{array} \right.$

Quantum KdV

8

As a special case of a much more general construction by

Buyak and Rossi, exploiting the geometry of "double ramification cycles" in the moduli spaces of curves, we have

Theorem (Buyak-Rossi, 2015): the quantization problem for the full dispersive KdV hierarchy is solved by

$$g_{-2}^{qKdV} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial g_k^{qKdV}}{\partial \mu_0} = g_{k-1}, \quad \partial_x (\mathbb{D} - 1) g_k^{qKdV} = (R_1 + R_2) g_{k-1}^{qKdV}$$

$$R_1 g := \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu_\ell} \partial_x^{\ell+1} \left(\frac{M_0^2}{2} + \varepsilon \mu_2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell, m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \mu_\ell \partial \mu_m} \frac{(\ell+1)! (m+1)!}{(\ell+m+1)!} \mu_{\ell+m+3}, \quad \mathbb{D} = \lambda \partial_x + \varepsilon \partial_x^2 + \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i \partial_x^i$$

$$R_2 g := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell, m, i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \mu_\ell \partial \mu_m} \frac{B_{2i+2}}{2i+2} \left[(-1)^{\ell+i} \binom{\ell+i}{2i-m} + (-1)^{m+i} \binom{m+i}{2i-m} \right] \mu_{\ell+m-2i+1}$$

Modular forms

9

Holomorphic functions $\phi: \mathcal{H} = \{ \text{Im } z > 0 \} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k \phi(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad (k = \text{"weight"})$$

$\forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (+ growth conditions) $\begin{cases} \phi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ at } \text{Im } z \rightarrow +\infty \\ \phi(z) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\text{Im } z)^k}\right) \text{ at } \text{Im } z \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$

$$\begin{cases} \phi(z+1) = \phi(z) \\ \phi(-1/z) = z^k \phi(z) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \phi(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m q^m, \quad q = \exp(2\pi i z)$$

\hookrightarrow interesting in various domains of Mathematics

Fact: space of modular forms $= \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{C} [G_4, G_6]$

\downarrow wt=4 \downarrow wt=6

where $G_k := -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} q^m \sum_{d|m} d^{k-1}$ ("Eisenstein series")

Quasimodular forms

[10]

\mathcal{H} is natural to add G_2 :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_k = \mathbb{C} \left[\overset{\text{wt}=2}{\uparrow} G_2, G_4, G_6 \right]$$

G_2 is not modular ("quasimodular")

$$G_2 \left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d} \right) = (cz+d)^2 G_2(z) + \frac{ic(cz+d)}{4\pi i}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ carries an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action; $W = \text{weight}$, $D = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dz}$

∂ derivation on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ defined by $\partial G_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\partial G_4 = \partial G_6 = 0$:

$$[W, D] = 2D, \quad [W, \partial] = -2\partial, \quad [\partial, D] = W$$

Functions of partitions & quasimodularity (I)

[11

Partitions of integers are related to modular forms -

Example: $\sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \#\{\text{partitions } \lambda \text{ s.t. } |\lambda| = n\} = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \dim \wedge^n$

$$= \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{-1} =: q^{1/24} / \eta(q) \quad (\text{"Dedekind eta"})$$

and $\eta(q)^{24} \in M_{12}$.

Functions of partitions & q-analog modularity (II) (12)

More recently (DIJKGRAAF, BLOCH-ORLUNKOV, KANEKO-ZAGIER):

$$f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \langle f \rangle_q = \frac{\sum_{m \geq 0} q^m \sum_{|\lambda|=m} f(\lambda)}{\sum_{m \geq 0} q^m \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}: |\lambda|=m\}}$$

set of all partitions

Theorem (Bloch-Orlunkov, 2000).

Assign $\deg Q_k = k$.

If f is a polynomial in Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \dots of homogeneous

degree $k \Rightarrow \langle f \rangle_q \in \mathbb{N}_k$.

Functions of partitions & quasimodularity (III)

13

Even more recently (ZAGIER, VAN LITERSUM):

$$D_k(\lambda) := -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_i^{k-1} \quad k \geq 2, \text{ even}$$

("SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS
OF PARTITIONS")

Theorem (van Ittersum, 2020):

Assign $\deg S^k = k$.

If f is a polynomial in S_2, S_4, S_6, \dots of homogeneous

degree $k \Rightarrow \langle f \rangle_q \in \tilde{M}_k$.

Back to q KdV

(14)

Natural problem: describe eigenvalues of q KdV Hamiltonians

A coarser question: $\langle \text{eigenvalues}(\varepsilon=0) \rangle_q$ is quasimodular of homogeneous weight by the Theorems of Dubrovin and Bloch-Okounkov.

Does quasimodularity survive the ε -deformation?

A first positive indication: in the $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ limit the eigenvalues behave as $\frac{\varepsilon^k S_{2k+2}(\lambda)}{(-4)^k (2k+1)!!}$

$$\varepsilon=0: Q_k(\lambda) \xleftarrow{q \text{ KdV}} \varepsilon=\infty: S_k(\lambda)$$

Quasimodularity of differential polynomials

(15)

$$\text{For } g \in \mathbb{C}[u] \Rightarrow \{\bar{g}\}_q := \frac{\sum_{m \geq 0} q^m \tau_{1^m} \bar{g}}{\sum_{m \geq 0} q^m \dim \Lambda_m}$$

Theorem (van Ittersum - R, 2022): Let $\mathcal{B}: \mathbb{C}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(u)$

$$\mathcal{B} := \exp\left(\frac{h}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^{\frac{i+j}{2}} B_{i+j+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}\right)$$

If $\mathcal{B}g$ is homogeneous w.r.t. $\deg u_k = k+1$, $\deg h = 0$,

then: $\{\bar{g}\}_q \in (\tilde{M}[c, h])_k$ ($\deg c = +1$, $\deg h = 0$).

(Proof largely based on previous work by van Ittersum)

Application to q_{kdV} (I)

k	$g_k^{q_{kdV}}$	VS	$B g_k^{q_{kdV}}$
-2	1		
-1	μ_0		
0	$\frac{\mu_0^2}{2} - \frac{\mu_0}{2}$		$+ \varepsilon \mu_0^2$
1	$\frac{\mu_0^3}{6} - \frac{\mu_0^2}{24} - \frac{\mu_0}{24}$		$+ \varepsilon \left(\mu_0 \mu_2 - \frac{\mu_0}{120} \right) + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\mu_4}{2}$
2	$\frac{\mu_0^4}{24} - \frac{\mu_0^3}{24} \mu_2 - \frac{\mu_0^2}{18} \mu_0^2 + \frac{7\mu_0}{5760}$		$+ \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 \mu_2 - \frac{\mu_0}{30} \mu_4 - \frac{\mu_0}{24} \mu_2 - \frac{\mu_0}{120} \mu_0 \right)$ $+ \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \mu_4 + \frac{7}{10} \mu_2^2 - \frac{\mu_0}{240} \right) + \varepsilon^3 \frac{\mu_6}{6}$

Application to $q^k dV$ (II)

[17]

We can answer our question!

Theorem: $\{ \overline{g_k^{q^k dV}}(\varepsilon) \} \in (\tilde{M}[c, \varepsilon, k])_{k+2}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \deg c = +1, \\ \deg \varepsilon = -1, \\ \deg k = 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof: use criterion in the last slide.

→ Quasimodularity of homogeneous weight constrains differential polynomials. Quantum KdV (& ILW) satisfy the constraint Simplification of hamiltonian densities.

→ Quantum KdV interpolates between shifted symmetric and symmetric functions of partitions, preserving quasimodularity.

→ The result generalizes to the ILW hierarchy.

Future directions

19

- Conjecture: eigenvalues of q KdV are Taylor series in ϵ with shifted symmetric coefficients (i.e. coefficients are polynomial in Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \dots)
- Compare with BAE found by Bonelli-Siarappa - Zanini-Vasko
- Mirror symmetry? IB-model?
- What for other Cohomological field Theories of rank 1? Higher rank?

Thank you!