Observational phenomena on black hole with dark matter dress

Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)



2023-09-05 @ XXV SIGRAV

K. Kadota, J. H. Kim, P. Ko, XYY [2306.10828]
R.-G. Cai, T. Chen, S.-J. Wang, XYY [2210.02078] JCAP 03 (2023) 043
R.-G. Cai, Y.-C. Ding, XYY, Y.-F. Zhou [2007.11804] JCAP 03 (2021) 057





Black hole + Dark matter \Rightarrow

Characteristic phenomena $\Leftarrow \Downarrow$ Nature of dark matter



Gravitational waves

K. Kadota, J. H. Kim, P. Ko, XYY [2306.10828]

Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)

3/30



- ACDM is an extremely successful model for the large scale structure of the Universe, corresponding to distances greater than $\mathcal{O}(Mpc)$ today.
- On small scales, there are several discrepancies between CDM predictions and observations.
 - Core–cusp problem
 - Diversity problem
 - Missing satellites problem
 - Too-big-to-fail problem
- Self-interacting dark matter is proposed as a promising alternative to collisionless CDM.
 - Solving problems of CDM model.
 - $-\,$ Many dark matter models can give strong self-interaction.



• Spike halo:

the adiabatic growth of a black hole creates a high density dark matter region.

Black hole + Cold dark matter

$$\rho_{\rm halo}(r) = \begin{cases} \rho_{\rm spike}(r), & r_{\rm min} \le r < r_{\rm sp} \\ \rho_{\rm NFW}(r), & r_{\rm sp} \le r \end{cases}$$
$$\rho_{\rm spike}(r) = \rho_{\rm sp} \left(\frac{r_{\rm sp}}{r}\right)^{\gamma_{\rm sp}}, \gamma_{\rm sp} = 7/3$$



$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 \right]$$

 $\lambda > 0$, repulsive interaction

$$\rho_{\rm soliton}(r) = \rho_{\rm sin} \frac{\sin(r/r_c)}{r/r_c} + \rho_{\rm cos} \frac{\cos(r/r_c)}{r/r_c}$$

$$r_c \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda}{16\pi Gm^4}}$$

Black hole + Self-interacting dark matter

$$\rho_{\text{halo}}(r) = \begin{cases} \rho_{\text{soliton}}(r), & r_{\min} \leq r < r_c \\ \rho_{\text{spike}}(r), & r_c \leq r < r_{\text{sp}} \\ \rho_{\text{NFW}}(r), & r_{\text{sp}} \leq r \end{cases}$$

KIAS





$$\rho_{\rm halo}(r) = \begin{cases} \rho_{\rm soliton}(r), & r_{\rm min} \leq r < r_c \\ \rho_{\rm spike}(r), & r_c \leq r < r_{\rm sp} \\ \rho_{\rm NFW}(r), & r_{\rm sp} \leq r \end{cases}$$







• Dynamical friction

 \Rightarrow Dephasing of GWs: $\Delta \Psi = \Psi(\text{vacuum}) - \Psi(\text{with DM halo})$

• Accretion







Fisher information matrix:

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{d}(f)}{\partial \theta_i}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{d}(f)}{\partial \theta_j}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{r_c}; m_1, m_2, D_L, \iota, \chi, \vartheta, \varphi, \phi_{\text{ISCO}}, t_{\text{ISCO}}\}$

$$\boldsymbol{d}(f) = \left[\frac{\tilde{h}_1(f)}{\sqrt{S_1(f)}}, \frac{\tilde{h}_2(f)}{\sqrt{S_2(f)}}, \dots, \frac{\tilde{h}_N(f)}{\sqrt{S_N(f)}}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$\sigma_{\theta_i} = \sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}} \qquad , \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1}$$

The point of the Fisher matrix formalism is to predict how well the experiment will be able to constrain the model parameters before doing the experiment.



Fisher information matrix:

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{d}(f)}{\partial \theta_i}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{d}(f)}{\partial \theta_j}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{r_c}; m_1, m_2, D_L, \iota, \chi, \vartheta, \varphi, \phi_{\text{ISCO}}, t_{\text{ISCO}}\}$

$$\boldsymbol{d}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{h}_1(f) \\ \sqrt{S_1(f)}, \frac{\tilde{h}_2(f)}{\sqrt{S_2(f)}}, \dots, \frac{\tilde{h}_N(f)}{\sqrt{S_N(f)}} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$\sigma_{\theta_i} = \sqrt{\Sigma_{ii}} \qquad , \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1}$$





Fisher information matrix:

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{d}(f)}{\partial \theta_i}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{d}(f)}{\partial \theta_j}\right)_{\boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{r_c}; m_1, m_2, D_L, \iota, \chi, \vartheta, \varphi, \phi_{\text{ISCO}}, t_{\text{ISCO}}\}$







Gamma ray

R.-G. Cai, Y.-C. Ding, XYY, Y.-F. Zhou [2007.11804] JCAP 03 (2021) 057

Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)

13/30





- 511 keV γ -ray excess
 - $\ \gamma \leftarrow e^+ + e^-$
 - puzzling morphology: flux ratio of bulge-to-disk $\sim O(1)$
 - ? origin of low-energy e^+ in bulge
 - \ast astrophysical explanation: low-mass X-ray binaries, neutron star mergers, ...
 - $\star~{\rm DM}$

۸S





- Scattering-like DM
 - $-\chi + \chi \to e^+ + \cdots$ $-I(l,b) \sim \rho^2(\mathbf{r})$
- Decaying-like DM

$$-\chi \to e^+ + \cdots$$
$$- I(l,b) \sim \rho(\mathbf{r})$$

KI^AS



 $-\chi \to e^+ + \cdots$ $- I(l,b) \sim \rho(\mathbf{r})$

Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)





۸S

DM = Self-annihilating particles + PBHs







 $\Gamma_{\rm PBH} = \int dr^3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{m_{\chi}^2} \rho_{\rm dPBH}^2$

 $ho_{\rm dPBH}$

dressed PBH \sim Decaying-like DM



dressed PBH \sim Decaying-like DM

$$\frac{f_{\rm PBH}}{(1-f_{\rm PBH})^2} = \frac{2M_{\rm PBH}}{\int dr^3 \rho_{\rm dPBH}^2} \frac{C_{\rm D}}{C_{\rm A}}$$

- Morphology of 511 keV gamma-ray observations \Rightarrow upper bound on $C_{\rm D}/C_{\rm A}$
- Theoretical calculation $\Rightarrow \rho_{dPBH}$

Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)

Fit to INTEGRAL/SPI data



 $I(l,b) = I_{\text{unbounded}}(l,b) + I_{\text{dPBH}}(l,b) + I_{\text{disk}}(l,b)$







Gravitational lensing

R.-G. Cai, T. Chen, S.-J. Wang, XYY [2210.02078] JCAP 03 (2023) 043

Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)

24/30



Xing-Yu Yang (杨星宇)

S



 $---- f_{\rm PBH}, M_{\rm PBH}$

KI^AS





۵S



KI≜S

-5

28/30



Summary



- The accretion of dark matter around black holes could lead to the formation of surrounding halo, which can give characteristic observational phenomena. Such characteristic phenomena can be used to explore the nature of dark matter.
- The gravitational waves from intermediate mass ratio inspiral with surrounding halo can be probes on the self-interacting dark matter.
- The galactic 511 keV gamma-ray background has the potential to give much more stringent constraints on the fraction of PBHs in dark matter.
- By considering the surrounding halo of PBHs, we can strengthen the constraints on the abundance of PBHs. This approach also has the potential to shift the constraints towards the well-known asteroid-mass window, where PBHs could account for all of the dark matter.